IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL
AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 994 (S/S) of 2005

Narendra Kumar Sharma,
Son of Sri Kashi Ram Sharma,
Resident of F – 3,
Garden Research Colony,
Bahadrabad,
Haridwar.

…….Petitioner.

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal, through its Secretary, Ministry of Irrigation, Civil Secretariat, Dehradun.
2. Chief Engineer/ Head of the Department, Personnel, Irrigation Department, Dehradun.
3. Chief Engineer / Director (Vaigyanik Samvarg), Irrigation Research Institue, Roorkee, Haridwar.
4. Superintendent Engineer, Jal Vigyan Mandal, Irrigation Research Institue, Roorkee, Haridwar.

……..Respondents

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J

Heard Sri Pankaj Miglani, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned standing counsel, who has accepted notices on behalf of respondents.

Learned counsel for both the parties are agreed to decide the writ petition at its admission stage itself.

By means of this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed to issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding the Authorities to consider the case of the

petitioner for regularizing his services on the post of Scientific Assistant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner was appointed on the post of Pratiroop Sahayak on 08.07.1969. On 14.04.1981, the petitioner was appointed on the post of Scientific Assistant on adhoc basis in Jal Vigyan Division in Roorkee, Haridwar. The State Government on 22.03.1984 issued a Government Order in which it is stated in services on the employees who were appointed temporarily prior to 01.03.1983 may be regularized. In spite of this Government Order the services of the petitioner were not regularized since 1984. Since 1984, the petitioner is continuously representing the authorities to regularize his services on the post of Scientific Assistant. But no heed was paid by the respondents authorities. Petitioner has submitted his latest representation on 26.04.2005 to the respondent no. 3.

Petitioner has confined his prayer that respondents by directed to decide his representation dated 26.04.2005 (Annexure No. 6 to the writ petition).

The prayer is innocuous. Respondent no. 3 shall decide the representation dated 26.04.2005 of the petitioner with reasoned order within a period of four weeks' from the date of production of certified copy of the order.

With this direction the writ petition is finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

(M.M. Ghildiyal, J.)

July 20, 2005
S.K.Sharma